

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,451	11/03/2003	Annibale Salvi	P-6320-US	3464
49443	7590 08/03/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK, LLP			SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
	DWAY 12TH FLOOR , NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/698,451	SALVI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
Period fo	, ,		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the state of the	TION. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on amer	ndment filed 06/05/06.	•
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.		
٠,ڪ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5)⊠	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 14 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		ne Examiner
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	9(a)-(d) or (f)
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority ariable 55 5.5.5. 3 Th	(a) (a) o. (i).
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.
Attachmen	rt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	a. r dishir pphodush (r 10-102)

Application/Control Number: 10/698,451

Art Unit: 1626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

This is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 06/05/06.

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim 1 has been amended by inserting ---zwitterion, said salt allowing simultaneous coadministration to a subject, represented by--- to the claim language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
    - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ames et al., (U.S.Patent number 5,916,912)('912') and (French Patent number 4,512 M)('512') each taken alone in view of Ekwuribe et al., (U.S.Patent number 6,479,692)('692') for the reasons set forth in the office action mailed on 12/01/06.

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 06/05/06 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. Applicant's traverse the rejection and argues that the prior art, either in alone or in combination does not teach "A salt of a thioctic acid with an L-carnitine zwitierion. In response, the insertion of the above phrase, i.e., "zwitterion, said salt allowing simultaneous coadministration to a subject, represented by" in amended claim 1 may serve to differentiate the instant compound under U.S.C 102 but, does not serve to remove the relied upon reference under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the addition of the phrase per say is uninventive to. Additionally, as previously stated in the office action mailed on 12/01/05, the references disclose the free acid forms of the claimed salt with similar utility. Barring a showing of unexpected or superior properties, the rejection will be maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/698,451

Art Unit: 1626

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have amended claim 1 to insert "zwitterion, said salt allowing simultaneous coadministration to a subject, represented by." However, the said phrase is not supported by the original disclosure and thus, is considered as a new matter. Cancellation of the new matter is required.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

**EOS** 

August 1, 2006

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1600 Technology Center 1